

with the pump at ground surface; that thereafter the connection from the outside pump to the well casing was lowered seven feet below ground surface and said well has pumped sufficient water for their use ever since.

9. That plaintiffs Nortons' 2-inch well covered by Underground Water Claim No. 3248 ceased flowing in the summer of 1934 and a pump was installed in 1955; that said plaintiffs' 2-inch well covered by Application No. 29637 was drilled in 1958 and has never been equipped with a pump; that in 1961 both wells flowed except when the Murray City well was being test pumped, and in 1962 both wells flowed a little bit with 1963 being comparable to 1962; that in 1965 the new well was flowing at the time of the trial while the Murray City well was operating and flowed during the month of November, 1964 with a pressure of in excess of five and one-half feet above ground surface while the Murray City well was operating; that both of said wells were used to water their garden, that said plaintiffs had previously connected onto the Murray City water system in 1950 for house use and lawn watering; and that said plaintiffs have never cleaned their wells since at least the year 1948.

10. That plaintiffs LaRoccas' 2-inch well covered by Underground Water Claim No. 11754 has been equipped with a 1/4 h.p. electric motor driven pump since prior to 1940; that the elevation of the water in the well has been near ground surface but has not flowed since 1924; that in May, 1961 the well sputtered but was again producing water by June 4, 1961; that the well stopped flowing on the morning of June 15, 1962, being two days prior to the date on which the Murray City well was turned on, but began flowing that same evening and continued until June 29, 1962 at low pressure when said plaintiffs connected into the Murray City water system; that during the summer of 1963 the well was producing sufficient water on the only two occasions it was checked; that there was no evidence